Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/043,876	CHANOS ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Eric W. Stamber	3622	
All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):			
(1) Eric W. Stamber (SPE, Art Unit 3622).	(3) Wynn Coggins (TC3600	Director).	
(2) John Grover (Applicant's representative).	(4)		
Date of Interview: 24 September 2008.			
Type: a)⊠ Telephonic b)□ Video Conference c)□ Personal [copy given to: 1)□ applicant 2)□ applicant's representative]			
Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes If Yes, brief description:	e) No.		
Claim(s) discussed: <u>none</u> .			
Identification of prior art discussed: <u>none</u> .			
Agreement with respect to the claims f)⊠ was reached. g)☐ was not reached. h)☐ N/A.			
Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> .			
(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)			
THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.			
		•	
	IFria W. Chambari		
	/Eric W. Stamber/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Ur	nit 3622	

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: The 3/24/08 Office action has been vacated and a new action has been issued with a mail date of tomorrow (9/25/08). By vacating the action, the Office was indicating that no response to the 3/24/08 Office action was considered necessary by Mr. Grover per his earlier Interview with SPE Jim Myhre. The mailing date of tomorrow is a function of the USPTO mailing system and the fact that applicant has elected electronic delivery of correspondence instead of paper, as the Office action was actually counted and mailed yesterday (9/23/08).



Commissioner for Patents United States Patent and Trademark Office P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

THE

ART UNIT 3622

Fax: 571-273-6724

FACSIMILE TRANSMISSION COVER SHEET

Date:	9/24/08
RE:	
Serial No.:	10/043,876
TO:	
Name: Company: Fax No:	JOHN GROVER KNOBBE, MARTINS, OLSON +BEAR LLP 949-760-9502
FROM:	
Name: _ Telephone: _	ERIC STAMBER
Number of Pages	(including this sheet)
	RECEIVED ALL PAGES OF THIS TRANSMISSION OR IF ANY OF BLE, PLEASE CONTACT: Eric Stamber 571-272-6724